

# Design Review Report

Ffordd Glan-y-Mor, Aberffraw

DCFW Ref: N208

Meeting of 19<sup>th</sup> September 2019

#### **Review Status**

Meeting date
Issue date
Scheme location
Scheme description
Scheme reference number
Planning status

### **Public**

19<sup>th</sup> September 2019 27<sup>th</sup> September 2019 Aberffraw Residential N208 Application Withdrawn

# **Declarations of Interest**

Panel members, observers and other relevant parties are required to declare *in advance* any interests they may have in relation to the Design Review Agenda items. Any such declarations are recorded here and in DCFW's central records.

None.

# Consultations to Date

No previous reviews by DCFW.

# The Proposals

Planning permission exists for a 2-storey house on this site. The current proposal is for a contemporary, boathouse-style 3-storey, 3-bedroom dwelling on the higher part the sloping site. Residential accommodation is proposed on the middle and upper floor, with the ground floor given over to storage due to potential flood risk.

The main materials are painted render and natural slate tiles, with vertically orientated western red cedar on the east and west gables and zinc sheeting for the detailing of the eaves, verges and other areas. The side elevations show a random window arrangement. The home would be gable fronted to the river with vehicle access and parking to the front.

A planning application has been withdrawn following feedback from the LPA conservation officer and pending the outcome of this review.

# Main Points

## Form Massing and Orientation

Whilst terraced houses with rooflines that follow the line of the road are most prevalent in the area, the overall picture of the settlement from across the water is mixed and informal. The massing model, which doesn't include any of the materials and details, indicates that the height, form, mass and orientation is appropriate within this context. It does not appear overly tall and the gable frontage does not appear alien. However, when the materials and details are shown the building becomes too prominent against an otherwise modest and anonymous backdrop.

Whilst the site and context analysis was thoroughly demonstrated and clearly explained, providing a clear rationale for many of the decisions made, the boathouse 'theme' was the least convincing part of the narrative. While there are some wider local references, pursuit of this stylistic approach seems to be working against the success of creating a residential dwelling that is appropriate to its context. It is the view of the panel that exploring alternative options for the vision of the dwelling could result in a more appropriate and sympathetic response.

#### **Materials and Details**

The materials and details associated with the boathouse theme are resulting in a vertical emphasis in terms of perception, at odds with its context. The wooden cladding, projecting frame, mix of window arrangements and balcony details all also add to the 'volume' of the building. Simplification will help significantly.

If the height of the building can be reduced even a little this would help address concerns regarding scale, however, addressing perceived height of the building could have more significant benefits. The projecting frame over-emphasises the three storeys and adds to the perceived height. Exploring whether an alternative material for the lower level to form a plinth with the two-storey frame above or reducing the projection of the frame could help to reduce the appearance of height.

The treatment of each floor should be considered with attention given to how elements reflect or deliberately contrast common features of the context. For example, the proportions and orientation of the windows could be reflected. Simplifying the lower floor will help it to sit back into the site and create a plinth, linking in with the horizontal orientation of the existing stone walls that are prominent along the river.

The proposed use of the different floors should be reviewed against the flood risk and whether they are within or outside of the floodplain.

#### **Access and Parking**

The proposed access and parking locations are logical, given that rear access is not possible. Parking should be hidden as much as possible. Moving the opening of the wall to the left-hand side and restoring the wall will help to improve the boundary. Views from the track alongside the house could help in the assessment of responses to this boundary.

#### **Environmental Strategy**

The proposed use of an air source heat pump is appropriate but the implications of this need to be understood and integrated at this stage in the design. The location of the external element of the heat pump should be defined prior to a revised planning submission and the impact on site acoustics understood and mitigated if necessary. The building's air tightness and insulation should be at a level suitable to heat pump derived space heating. The design should also consider how hot water can be generated without relying on inefficient and expensive immersion heaters, or significantly impact the heat pump's efficiency.

#### **Next Steps**

Overall the development of this site is appropriate and will help to complete the frontage. The analysis undertaken has led to rational decisions regarding the height, massing and orientation of the building, but further work is needed to address the appearance against

its context through consideration of materials and detailing. A contemporary approach and the desired level of quality are appropriate, but design development must continue to find an approach that is sensitive to the mixed but overall low-key nature of the context. The expertise of a planning consultant who understands the local context may be helpful.

Comisiwn Dylunio Cymru Design Commission for Wales is the trading name of DCFW LIMITED, a Private Limited Company established under the Companies Act 1985 and 2006, Company No: 04391072 incorporated in England and Wales. DCFW is a non-statutory consultee, a private limited company and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Welsh Government. Registered office: 4th Floor, Cambrian Buildings, Mount Stuart Square, Cardiff CF10 5FL T: 029 2045 1964 E connect@dcfw.org. The comment recorded in this report, arising from formal Design Review through our Design Review Service, is provided in the public interest for the consideration of local planning authorities as a material consideration and other users of the Design Review Service. It is not and should not be considered 'advice' and no third party is bound or required to act upon it. The Design Review Service is delivered in line with DCFW's published protocols, code of conduct and complaints procedure, which should be read and considered by users of the service.

A Welsh language copy of this report is available upon request.

# **Attendees**

Design Team: Randal Turner, Raise Architects

Agent/Client/Developer: Richard Williams, Client

Design Review Panel:

Chair Cora Kwiatkowski

Lead Panellist John Lloyd Panel Martin Knight

Andrew Linfoot Alister Kratt Neil Williamson

Carol-Anne Davies, DCFW

Jen Heal, DCFW