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**Review Status**

**PUBLIC**

Meeting date  
21st May 2015

Issue date  
2nd June 2015

Scheme location  
Reynoldston, Gower

Scheme description  
House extension

Scheme reference number  
73

Planning status  
Pre-application

**Declarations of Interest**

None declared.

**Consultations to Date**

The local planning authority has been consulted.

**The Proposals**

The proposal is to extend an existing house to the side and rear to provide extra living space, ground and first floor offices, storage and a new master bedroom. The existing house is situated in Reynoldston in the Gower with residential neighbours on both sides. The extensive south-facing garden slopes downwards away from the house, providing views across the landscape.

**Main Points in Detail**

The following points summarise key issues from the review, and should be used to inform work ahead of making a planning application or further Design Review:

**Design Approach**

The Design Commission for Wales is supportive of the intention to maximise the benefits of the site – landscape views, sun and garden – through the reconfiguration and extension of the existing house.

Given the limited architectural merit of the existing house and the lack of consistency of built form in the immediate vicinity, the Commission is content with the principle of a contemporary design approach to this scheme, which the design team can clearly justify. However, more work is required to find the best articulation of this approach.
It is important that there is a rationale to the design process and that all design decisions can be justified in relation to the aims of the scheme and planning guidance. It is not clear whether a series of design options have been considered and evaluated in the development of this project to date.

It was very helpful to see previous work by Catalina Architecture and Design used as precedent for this scheme, which demonstrates the capability, skill and reputation for quality for which the practice is recognised. There is an elegant simplicity in much of this previous work which is not yet coming through in this proposal. Simplification of the form and layout offers potential to improve the proposal.

**Form and layout**
The Design Commission agrees that a flat roof is the best option for this scheme and the final finish of that roof could also add ecological richness and value. A pitched roof would not sit comfortably with the form of the existing house and would worsen the impact on the neighbour to the west, which is at a lower level than the proposal site.

The proposed layout and form work well at ground floor level, but we would suggest that the first floor proposal would benefit from reconsideration. The proposed layout at first floor adds complexity to the scheme, especially through the cantilever which extends the upper floor to the boundary of the property. A simpler form and layout might reduce building costs and improve the relationship with the existing house, garden, landscape views and neighbouring properties.

Simplified options might consider elongating the extension further out into the garden or a wider single storey extension. The relatively large garden allows plenty of options to be explored. The level changes that exist could add to the interest and overall design approach.

Consideration in spatial and visual terms should also be given to the energy strategy for the house generally and, if a log stove is to be included, the positioning and external finishes of any flue.

**Planning**
The Design Commission suggests that revising the proposal, addressing the issues raised in this review, might enable discussions with the local planning authority to progress in a more productive way. It is positive that pre-application consultation with the LPA has been sought at an early stage when there is scope to develop the design, and it would be valuable if that consultation continued.

Although the existing house and its immediate surroundings are not remarkable, there are many planning sensitivities associated with building in the Gower. For this reason, the client might also benefit from employing the services of a professional planning consultant.

**Next steps**
The Commission recommends that a number of strategic options for the house extension and reconfiguration are explored and tested, taking into account the comments made at this review and recorded in this report. The options tested should be recorded and
presented with any planning application submission as part of the rationale and justification for the final proposed option.

The physical model presented at the review was useful for quickly understanding the impact of the design. Rougher, working models might be a useful way to explore different approaches.

The Design Commission for Wales would welcome the opportunity to review this scheme again prior to a planning application being made.
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