

# IHP Design Review Report

Pantmaenog Forest, Sir Benfro

DCFW Ref: 19W

Meeting of 30<sup>th</sup> May 2019

#### **Review Status**

Meeting date
Issue date
Scheme location
Scheme description
Scheme reference number
Planning status

#### **PUBLIC**

30<sup>th</sup> May 2019 4<sup>th</sup> June 2019 Pembrokeshire Residential 19W Pre-application

# **Declarations of Interest**

Panel members, observers and other relevant parties are required to declare *in advance* any interests they may have in relation to the Design Review Agenda items. Any such declarations are recorded here and in DCFW's central records.

The panel Chair was advised that Gayna Jones, Chair of the Design Commission for Wales, chairs the IHP Group. Gayna was not present in the meeting.

The client agent Phil Roberts is also DCFW design review panellist.

All present at the review were content to proceed following these declarations.

# The Proposals

The proposal is for the construction of six live/work units incorporating workshops for woodworking at the edge of an existing village together with a timber processing facility. The aim is for the project is to be sustainable in all respects including construction using materials largely sourced from within the forest, education and skills development from self-building, promotion of sustainable forest management, bio-diversity and provision of opportunities for local people to support health and wellbeing. The proposals seek to pilot a new form of insulating with waste timber shavings and use only natural materials.

The proposals were reviewed by DCFW in February and the comments made in the report were addressed at this review. The scheme has evolved since the previous review as referenced below.

# Main Points

This report is not a record of the full discussion that took place during the review, rather a summary of the key points that have been identified that would help to improve the project and any concerns regarding the funding of the project.

#### **Urgent Design Concerns**

Overall the Design Commission is supportive of the intention this project which has an interesting narrative and the potential for multiple benefits. However, this narrative and ambition is not reflected fully in the current layout and the level of information provided.

Access and circulation should be further refined to address the double road that would be created by the new access route adjacent to the existing track, access to the processing

facility from the forest track and the connection from the processing facility to the workshops. The number of proposed parking spaces needs to be justified.

The layout of the houses is not yet convincing. They are distributed somewhat randomly, but rigidly all orientated the same way which is not aligned due south. The placing of the dwelling within the plot needs to be more carefully considered particularly in relation to boundary treatment, ownership and maintenance. Applying the boundaries to the plans and drawings will significantly change the nature of the site. The input of a landscape architect would help to resolve the spaces around the houses.

The precedent images presented in the report are largely single storey, simple forms whereas the proposed house types are two storey. Consideration should be given to whether a single storey is a more appropriate form given the context and that there is ample space on the site.

For all the above design matters a rationale is required that links to the aims of the project to demonstrate the rigor of the design processes.

# **Placemaking**

The change to centralised workshops rather than individual units within each plot since the last review provides a better focus for the development, the potential for more interaction between residents and the opportunity to accommodate community activities. The requirements of this space need to be more fully understood and addressed. For example, community uses may require facilities such as toilets, a kitchen and storage space. A design with much greater flexibility would allow the space to be adapted to different requirements more easily and may enable the workshop spaces to vary in size in response to the needs of users.

Practical considerations need to be addressed at this stage so they can be integrated into the design including where bins are stored and collected from and how deliveries are made.

## Integration of innovation

Innovation is threaded throughout the narrative of this proposal and has the potential to address many of the Well-being of Future Generations goals. However, this is not yet being fully translated into the design so what is presented on plan is not aligning with the ambition. By addressing the design concerns that are highlighted above through further review, refinement and testing of the layout and applying a greater level of detail, this can be overcome.

### **Next Steps**

This is a very compelling proposal that now needs to be worked through all elements of the design. We would welcome the opportunity for further review, however this is likely to fall outside the programme of IHP.

Comisiwn Dylunio Cymru Design Commission for Wales is the trading name of DCFW LIMITED, a Private Limited Company established under the Companies Act 1985 and 2006, Company No: 04391072 incorporated in England and Wales as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Welsh Government. Registered office: 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Cambrian Buildings, Mount Stuart Square, Cardiff CF10 5FL T: 029 2045 1964 E connect@dcfw.org. The comment recorded in this report, arising from

formal Design Review through our Design Review Service, is provided in the public interest for the consideration of local planning authorities as a material consideration, and other users of the Design Review Service. It is not and should not be considered 'advice' and no third party is bound or required to act upon it. The Design Review Service is delivered in line with DCFW's published protocols, code of conduct and complaints procedure, which should be read and considered by users of the service.

A Welsh language copy of this report is available upon request.

# **Attendees**

Agent/Client/Developer: Mark McKenna, Down to Earth

Phil Roberts, client agent

Architect/Planning Consultant: Mark Waghorn, Mark Waghorn Design

Local Planning Authority:

Design Review Panel:

Chair Jamie Brewster
Panel Jonathan Adams
Matt Thomas

Matt Thomas Jen Heal, DCFW

Larissa Berquò, DCFW

Observer Bonnie Navarra, Future Generations Office